

Received: 28 October, 2022, Revised: 11 December 2022, Accepted: 18 December, 2022, Online: 28 January, 2023

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.55708/js0201001

Modelling, Simulation and Sensitivity Analysis of Generator Control Systems using Coexisting and Cooperative Tools

Javier Urquizo^{1,*}, Diover Bonilla¹⁰, Francisco Rivera¹⁰, Rommel Chang²

¹Escuela Superior Politécnica del Litoral, ESPOL, FIEC, Campus Gustavo Galindo Km. 30.5 Via Perimetral, Guayaquil, Ecuador ²CELEC EP Unidad de Negocio Hidronación, Central Baba, Kilómetro 39 vía Quevedo -- Santo Domingo, Ecuador *Corresponding author: Javier Urquizo, ESPOL/FIEC, Km. 30.5 Via Perimetral, +593982226142 jurquizo@espol.edu.ec

ABSTRACT: This research is about tuning the automatic generator control (AGC) unit within the National Transmission System (NTG) and is intended to provide a set of key insights into problems related to generator control systems oscillations and the possible available solutions. The case study is the Baba Hydroelectric Power Plant in Ecuador. The aim is to model, simulate and validate the controls of the Baba generating units for an optimal and stable response. Both controllers, the Automatic Voltage Regulator (AVR) and Power System Stabilizer (PSS) were tuned using both a component-based approach using an object-orientated tool where the model structure resembles the original system, and a coexisting power flow tool in a signal orientated environment. A key part of this tuning is the adaptation of the model to different operating conditions by testing scenarios where signals ought to be defined before the start of the simulation and others be chosen for visualisation without any limitation, therefore, this paper is about finding a multi-framework environment. Also, the model was disturbed so to observe the field Voltage and terminal voltage values using a simplified and reduced part of the NTG. Results show that a high gain AVR helps the steady state and transient stabilities but may reduce the oscillatory stability and the PSS can provide significant stabilization of such oscillations. The validation strategy uses the average quadratic mean square error statistical method.

KEYWORDS: Electric Power Systems, Automatic Voltage Regulator, Coexisting and Collaborative tools, Power System Stabilizer, Stability Assessment, Sensitivity Analysis

1. Introduction

Hydroelectric power plants are the foundation of Ecuador's power generation capacity. Hydropower plants account for 57.67% [1] of the country's installed capacity by 2017. Our case study is the Baba Multi-Purpose Project (BMP), consisting of four dikes and three channels in Los Ríos province, used for irrigation, flood control, water supply and hydroelectric power generation. The BMP includes water supply to the reservoir and the Daule-Peripa reservoir, construction of the hydroelectric power plant and associated transmission lines. Therefore, the main purpose of the BMPs is water storage and transmission, not hydropower. Upland rice is dominant in Los Rios and no-tillage is the dominant crop cultivation method. About 60 percent of the farmers farm less than five hectares per person and three percent are large farmers cultivating 100 hectares per person [2]. With 3,000 hectares of land at risk of flooding, protesters against the Baba dam had a major impact on the construction of the dam and saved the community from flooding [3]. Capable of generating 42 MW, covering 40-50% of the demand in Los Ríos. The Baba hydropower station has two of his Kaplan direct shaft turbines submerged by a spiral cover or scroll area.

This paper concerns the feasible enhancement controls which may allow the countrywide electricity grid to perform reliably over broader tiers of loading and system operational point. Hydro-turbines controllers are tuned for assumed situations withinside the relaxation of the electricity grid; however, as grid situations vary, the controllers eventually 'malfunction' in one-of-a-kind ways (ranging being too sluggish and/or of inadequate ability or loss of coordination) [4]; consequently, it is hard to ensure system-wide performance; therefore, the general tendency is not to rely on control outside normal regions and find





out where are the opportunities to operate efficiently. A method is wanted for dealing with the machine throughout a huge variety of running condition, for this is important to display electricity-flows and adjust those consistent with the higher described generator interconnection standards making sure viable electricity exchanges without compromising machine reliability; however, at the same time, the design has to be completed in order that every generator maintains its decentralized, self-sufficient operation, whilst coordinating with turbines of the nearby electricity grid.

Literature review shows different ways authors can implement controls. For example, Std 421.5[™]-2005 [5] describes a model structure intended to facilitate the use of field test data as a means of obtaining model parameters. In North America model validation is mandated according to the Reliability Council (NERC) Modelling, Data, and Analysis (MOD) series of standards. NERC MOD-033-1 [6] specifies consistent validation requirements to facilitate the collection of accurate data and the development of planning models for reliability analysis of interconnected transmission systems. In Europe, [7] designed a robust controller to address both local area and inter-area oscillations. The controller is a second-order state-space regulator. In Ecuador, [8] is developing tools for displaying oscillation modes and their damping, and for provisional localization of a PSS in the Ecuadorian NTG. An online model estimation scheme was also used to validate and/or tune a small-signal model of the power system using synchro phasor data [9] at the Paute-Molino power plant in Ecuador [10]. In Modelica [11] there was an effort on design principles and a prototype for modelling the pan-European electricity grid to be used by European transmission system operators.

The controls are an Automatic Voltage Regulator (AVR) and a Power System Stabilizer (PSS). The AVR regulates the terminal voltage of the generator. A fastresponse high-gain AVR improves large-signal transient stability in the sense that it improves the network's ability to maintain synchronism when subjected to severe transient disturbances. There is a trade-off between synchronous torque provided by the AVR and the damping torque provided by the PSS [12,13]. PSS tuning is for a wide oscillation damping applications. PSS requires a reliable model of the system in a software package such as DigSILENT PowerFactory (PF). The early stages of this research involved extensive data management, cleansing, and restructuring to the NTG initial dataset in the PF package. The development of these controllers uses an object-oriented and non-causal modelling approach in which individual parts of the model are directly described as equations using a declarative approach [14] but also coexisting in good cooperation with signal-orientated tools.

2. Methodology

2.1. Generation unit modelling

The Baba power generation unit will generate 161 GWh/year by pumping water to the Santa Elena Peninsula at up to 234 m3/sec. During the rainy season (January to October), the reservoir only provides a downstream flow of 10 - 15 m³/sec. The generating units are two horizontal axis Kaplan turbines, each of 21 MW, with a maximum operating flow of 86 m3/ sec. The difference from the maximum flow rate, approximate 62 m3/sec, is discharged via a by-pass installed next to the pressure line [15]. Clarke and Park transforms are commonly used in field-oriented control of three-phase AC machines. The Clarke transform converts the time domain components of a three-phase system (in abc frame) to two components in an orthogonal stationary frame ($\alpha\beta$). The Power System Analysis Toolbox (PSAT) hydroelectric salient pole machine is modelled as Order V Type 2 model [16], which more closely resembles the Baba generator characteristics. Both follows Van-Cutsem and Papangelis [17] proposed data (see Figure 1). Baba generator parameters and initial NTG values are shown in Table 1. The internal parameters of the generator are the same for Isolated and in NTG operation modes, but their initialization values differ depending on the controller's mode of operation. Table 1 also shows the initial values for the Isolated - NTG generator. To view the controller's response, a voltage disturbance in the form of a pulse generator was applied to the V_{ref} input. The 'Pulse Generator' parameters are shown in Table 2.

The generation model corresponds to a three-phase synchronous generator and a classic electromechanical model with transfer functions to model the direct and quadrature inductances. Assuming an additional circuit for the direct axis, the state variables can be described as in the following equations [18]. A curious reader would have to read the GitHub repository for all the models and parameters used from the OpenIPSL library.



Figure 1: Machine - Generator Order V Type 2

The Model Parameters are Synchronous reactance - d axis (pu) Xd, Synchronous reactance - q axis (pu) Xq, Subtransient reactance - d axis (pu) X2d, Open circuit transient time constant - d axis (pu) T1d0, Open circuit sub-transient time constant - d axis (pu) T2d0, Open circuit sub-transient time constant - q axis (pu) T2q0, Nominal Power (MVA) Sn,



Nominal Voltage (kV) Vn, Armature Resistance (pu) Ra, Transient Reactance - d axis (pu) X1d, Mechanical inertia coefficient M and Damping D.

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
Xd	0.97	e1q.start	0.950539 1.49748
Xq	0.78	e2q.start	1.00027 1.47345
X _{2d}	0.29	e2d.start	0 0.285123
X _{2q}	0.38	w.start	0 0
T _{1d0}	3.56	v.start	1.00027 0.945013
T2d0	0.028	P.start	0 1.88565
T2q0	0.006	Q.start	0 1.47173
Taa	0.177	Vf. start	1.00027 3.125
Sn MVA	23.4	Pm0.start	0.900957 0.900957
VnkV	13.8	pm.start	0.900957 0.900957
Ra	0.0022	vd.start	0 0.551147
X _{1d}	0.36	v _q .start	1.00027 0.76767
М	10	id start	0 2.42869
D	0	i _q .start	0 0.712775

Table 1: Parameters of the Baba Generator

Table	2:1	Parameters	of	the	pulse	generator
10010		aranceero	~-	u.c	paroe	generate.

Pulse	Value
Extent	-0.03
Pulse width (%)	50
Period (s)	1
Initialization	5.65

AVR modelling is in Section 2-2 and PSS is in Section 2-3. The closed-loop response has two outputs, **P** (power) and **w** (velocity), which are connected to the corresponding inputs of the PSS. A frequency **f** block was added that allows to change the speed to frequency and therefore being able to meet the number of equations and unknowns for the Generator validation. Enhancements have also been made to the PSS output to address what-if scenarios. The internal block diagram is shown in Figure 2 and the external block diagram is shown in Figure 3.



Figure 2: Baba generator internal block



Figure 3: Baba generator external block

2.2. AVR Modelling

The IEEE 421.5 standard [5] is the IEEE recommended practice for excitation system models for power system stability studies. The models apply for frequency deviations of $\pm 5\\%$ from nominal frequency and oscillation frequencies up to 3 Hz. The Baba excitation system follows the IEEE 421.5 ST6B model. The AVR is shown in Figure 4 and consists of a field voltage regulator and a PI voltage regulator with a feedforward control in the inner loop. The field voltage controller implements proportional control.



Figure 4: ST6B static potential - source excitation system with field current limiter [5]

The AVR appeared in Figure 4 comprises of a PI voltage regulator with an internal loop field voltage regulator and pre-control. The field voltage regulator executes a proportional control. The pre-control and the delay in the feedback circuit increase the dynamic response. VR represents the limits of the power rectifier. The ceiling current IFD limitation is included in this model. The power for the rectifier, VB, may be supplied from the generator terminals or from an independent source. Inputs are provided for external models of the over-excitation limiter (VOEL), under-excitation limiter (VUEL), and PSS (VS).

Baba has a static excitation system in which the generator stator voltage is rectified by a thyristor bridge. This DC excitation voltage is fed through the slip ring to the rotor windings to excite the rotor. As the energized rotor rotates within the stator, an AC voltage is generated at the stator terminals, i.e., stator voltage variations directly affect the excitation voltage. Figure 5 shows the main exciter structure underlying the exciter modelled in the simulation tool.





Figure 5: Excitation System, main structure [19]

The variables and parameters in Figure 5 are the maximum allowed AVR reference $U_{g \ sp \ max}$ (VHZ), the minimum allowed AVR reference $U_{g \ sp \ max}$ (VHZ), the minimum allowed AVR reference $U_{g \ sp \ min}$, the transducer time constant T_u , field current time constant T_f , proportional gain K_p , integral time T_i , derivative gain K_d , derivative time constant T_d , Field current I_f . Use If the feedback in the loop control Use If fdbck, PSS after UEL, Field undercurrent limiter output MCLF, overdrive limiter output OEL, overdrive fast limiter output OELf, AVR compensation loop output AVR droop, Stator current limiter output SCL, Under-drive Limiter Output UEL, PSS output signal PSS, Volts hertz limiter output VHz. Typical parameter values are $U_{g \ sp \ max} 1.1$, $U_{g \ sp \ min} 0.9$, $T_u \ 20 \ ms$, $T_f \ 20 \ ms$, $K_p \ 10$, $T_i \ 2 \ s$, $K_d \ 0$, $T_d \ 5 \ s$, Use If fdbck 0 (Only for brushless excitation systems), PSS after UEL 1.

Our research focuses on damping of small signal swings, so we have simplified Figure 5. It is important to model the limiter in conjunction with the voltage regulator. Under excitation limiting is especially used in turbo generators. This is because without a limiter the reactive power output from the generator could be too high, leading to erroneous simulation results. Small turbo generators connected to powerful networks are sensitive to this kind of phenomenon [20]. The purpose of the overexcited limit is to protect the generator from overheating due to prolonged field over-current.



Figure 6: AVR implemented in a non-causal approach.

Figure 6 is a simplified non-causal AVR approach to modelling the Baba excitation system. Traditional approaches are based on block-oriented schemes in which causality plays a key role. However, new concepts based on object-oriented approaches, physics-oriented connections, and algebraic manipulation enable noncausal modelling, where blocks represent the interactions of equations.

Each of the transfer functions (TF) in Figure 6 has initialization parameter calibrated to stable values according to its response, either isolated or NTG modes. See Table 3.

Transfer Functions	Initial Isolated	Initial NTG
Simple Lag1	1.00027	0.94503
Simple Lag2	1	1
Simple Lag3	1.00027	3.12500
TransferFuntion1	0	0
TransferFuntion3	0.160044	0.499815

Table 3: TF Initialization Isolated - NTG modes

The AVR's operating parameters are adjusted according to a manufacturer's specified ranges and are shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Isolated and NTG AVR Parameters

Parameters	Isolated Values	NTG Values
Kp	10	10
Ti	1	2
Kd	-10	0
Td	10	5
$T_{\rm f}$	0.02	0.02
Tu	0.02	0.02
Kbr	6.25	6.25
T_{br}	0.0014	0.0014
OELf	0.5	0.7
MFCL	-0.5	-0.5
V ₀	0.75	0.7384
Voo	FIXED=False	FIXED=False

2.3. PSS Modelling

The IEEE 2005 421.5 standard [5] introduced a PSS structure called IEEE PSS4B. The PSS4B model represents a structure based on multiple operating frequency bands, as shown in Figure 7. Three separate bands, each dedicated to the low-, medium- and high-frequency oscillations modes, are used in the delta-omega (velocity input). Baba uses the IEEE Std 421.5TM-2016 Dual-Input Power System Stabilizer (PSS₂C) [21] to improve electrical



system stability, as shown in Figure 8. A key element is the Limiter. The Limiter is used to keep the PSS output voltage within a range of values and the PSS output protection should also match the output limiter. Additional damping can be achieved to improve transient stability by setting the PSS output limit. As a result, the PSS performance improves under larger system disturbances [22].



Figure 7: The multi-band stabilizer, IEEE PSS4B [5]



Figure 8: Power system stabilizer - PSS [21]



Figure 9: PSS implemented in non-causal approach.

The PSS controller has a large number of 'simple lag' and 'TransferFunction', constants, limiters, input and output signals. It also has a 'RampTrackingFilter' for attenuating the signal. Non-casual modelling is shown in Figure 9.

Each of the transfer functions (TF) of Figure 9 have initialization parameters calibrated to stable values according to their response either isolated or NTG modes. See Table 5. Table 5: TF Initialization Isolated -- NTG modes

TF	Isolated	NTG	TF	Isolated	NTG
Simple Lag 5	0	0	Transfer Function ₃	0	0
Simple Lag2	0	0	Transfer Function4	0	0
Simple Lag ₃	0	1.87338	Transfer Function₅	0	0
Simple Lag 4	0	0	Transfer Function ₆	0	0
Transfer Function1	0.0430175	- 0,0476977	Transfer Function7	0	0
Transfer Function ₂	0	0			

The operating parameters of the PSS were adjusted according to the ranges specified by the manufacturer. Parameters are shown in Table 6. PSSmin is equal to zero.

Parameter	Isolated	NTG	Parameter	Isolated	NTG
$T_{\rm f}$	0.02	0.02	Т9	0.01	0.1
Tp	0.02	0.02	М	4	4
T_{w1}	3	3	Ν	2	1
Tw2	3	3	K _{s1}	0.01	0.1
T _{w3}	3	3	T_1	0.12	0.12
Tw4	3	3	T2	0.03	0.03
Τ6	4.6	0	Тз	0.09	0.03
T7	3	3	T 4	0.03	0.03
Ks2	0.3	0.3	T10	4.7	2.06
K _{s3}	1	1	T11	0.37	1.3
T ₈	0.2	0.4	PSS _{max}	0.05	0.05

Table 6: Isolated and NTG PSS Parameters

In addition, the PSS controller is not a separate controller that is used with the generator, but it is a controller that input signals to the AVR by entering a signal into the AVR to improve the response after a failure.

2.4 National Transmission Grid

The early stages of this research involved extensive data management, cleansing, restructuring and additions to this initial dataset. In addition, real time test values of power flows were available via a robust PF power system tool. Figure 10 shows a reduced portion of the NTG with synchronous machines, transformers, transmission lines and system buses.





Figure 10: Reduced portion of the NTG for Baba stability studies

2.5 Transformers

Two elevating transformers (13.8:230 kV) were used for the implementation of the reduced grid. The transformer is connected to the synchronous generator. The primary winding of each transformer is connected to the generator's armature winding at 13.8 kV. A circuit breaker is installed close to transformer on the upper (230 kV) voltage side. In this arrangement any generator perturbations and grid disturbances have an effect on the transformers. Transformers implemented in our system does have serial reactance and do not have iron losses. The transformer connection is grounded wye - delta. The grounded connection will provide a path for a line-toground fault current (back feed current) for a fault upstream from the transformer. The parameters are shown in Table 7.

Table 7: Transformers	parameter
-----------------------	-----------

s

Parameters	Values (pu)
Кт	13.8/230
х	0.5036
r	0.5

Simulation tools like PF facilitates the user with varied types of power system studies. It also obtains more detailed and accurate power-flow simulation solutions. However, the libraries integrated in the tools are normally closed for modifications. The values of power-flow that are entered in the non-causal model of transformers, generator, transmission lines and buses are acquired from PF.

2.6 Transmission Lines

A NTG transmission line is modelled with an equivalent \prod circuit in the non-casual tool. The resistance (R) data is entered, whereas reactance (X), conductance (G) and susceptance (B) are obtained from DIgSILENT's NTG block. All values are in p.u, but the units in PF are Ω /km and need to be converted using the Zbase into per unit. Zbase is calculated from Equation 1.

Zbase
$$= \frac{V^2}{P} = \frac{13,800^2}{46,760,000} = 4.072712 \ [\Omega] \ (1)$$

Zbase allows to compute all the lines from a reduced Baba grid. The line parameters are shown in Figure 11.

\square	Plant line to Baba Substation 230 Kv	Baba Substation Line to Quevedo 230 Kv	Baba Substation Line to S.Domingo 230 Kv	Line S. Domingo to Quevedo 230 Kv	Line S.Domingo to Esmeral das 230 Kv	Line Quevedo to San Gregorio 230 Kv	
R (Ω/Km)	0.101485	0.059085	0.059085	0.059085	0.05192	0.059085	
X (Ω/Km)	0.49082	0.472668	0.472668	0.472668	0.4866	0.472668	
Long (Km)	1.4	45	62	104	155	110	
R (Ω)	0.142079	2.658825	3.66327	6.14484	8.0476	6.49935	
Χ (Ω)	0.687148	21.27006	29.305416	49.157472	75.423	51.99348	
Zbase (Ω)	4.072712						
R (pu)	0.0348856	0.6528390	0.899467	1.508783	1.975981	1.595829	
X (pu)	0.1687200	5.2225793	7.195554	12.186018	18.519111	12.766305	
G (pu)	28.665122	1.5317712	1.111769	0.662786	0.506078	0.626633	
B (pu)	5.9269796	0.1914763	0.138975	0.082061	0.053998	0.078331	

Figure11: Transmission Line Parameters

2.7 Infinite Buses

An infinite bus is the main bus of a power system with constant frequency and voltage (both in magnitude and angle). This research analyses the problem of a machine connected to an infinite bus via a transmission line. In general, fast excitation systems are usually beneficial to transient stability following large impacts by driving the field to fast response without delay. However, these abrupt changes in excitation are not necessarily beneficial in damping the oscillations that follow the first swing, and they sometimes contribute growing oscillations several seconds after the occurrence of a large disturbance [23]. We properly design the exciter as a mean of enhancing stability in the dynamic range as well as in the first few cycles after a disturbance. We consider two infinite bus implementations: the Esmeraldas and San Gregorio 230 kV buses. Input power-flow data for the non-casual system in those buses were from PF and is shown in Figure 12 for Esmeraldas as an example.

eneral	Modifiers		
Compone	nt		
Name: E	smeraldas		
lass			
Patho	OpenIPSL.Electr	cal Buses.	InfiniteBus
Commen	: PSAT Infinite Bu	5	
Paramete	rs		
displayPf	Display powe	r fow res.	its:
Power flo	w data		
V_Ь	233.10	kV	Base voltage of the bus
v_0	1.01	1	Voltage magnitude (pu)
angle_0	-15.65	deg	Voltage angle
P_0	-19.36	MW	Active power
Q_0	-14.44	Mvar	Reactive power
e h	23.4	MVA	System base power
- <u>-</u>			

Figure 12: Esmeraldas's infinite bus parameters



2.8 Equivalent system in OpenModelica

To illustrate the effect of the excitation system on transient stability, we perform transient stability study on the equivalent system shown in Figure 13.



Figure 13: NTG Equivalent System

Figure 13 is the result after adding all the elements described in Sections 2.1 to 2.6. The generation parameters have been checked and AVR and PSS controller initialization values have also been adjusted as they are different from those in isolate mode.

Section 3-1 and Section 3-2 present the results and validation respectively of the system response. Validation tests are intended to later test the sensitivity estimates (see Section 3-4) derived from non-causal and causal approaches. This particular type of sensitivity analysis is being used in medicine [24]. Sensitivity helps identify potential risks in the power system.

3. Results

Related tests were performed on the Baba generation, including the AVR and PSS schemes shown in Figure 14. A gain follows the PSS output ('gain2' block in Figure 14) and also tracks frequency changes at the PSS input ('add1' block in Figure 14), both to understand the effect on the system response.



Figure 14: Baba Generator test schema

3.1 Isolated test results

An isolated test of the controller was performed using a 10 second pulse train with amplitude -0.03 starting at 5.65 seconds, so the system returns to normal in 15.65 seconds. The field voltage response under these conditions is shown in Figure 15. In this figure, 'gain2' is set to zero to show only-AVR isolated field and terminal voltages responses.



Figure 15: Baba isolated AVR field voltage response - non-causal mode

Figure 15 shows a stable response with a final value of 0.97 pu. Figure 16 shows the non-causal (red curve) and causal (blue curve) electric field voltage responses. Figure 16 shows that both curves are similar. A more detailed analysis of the differences using the mean squared error method follows in Section 3.4.



Figure 16: Isolated AVR field voltage response non-causal - causal comparative

Figure 17 shows the terminal voltage (non-causal mode) with the same disturbance as it has a stable response with the electric field voltage. Figure 18 shows a comparison of the terminal voltage responses in the non-causal mode (red curve) and the causal model (blue curve).



Figure 17: Isolated AVR terminal voltage response - non-causal mode



Figure 18: Isolated terminal voltage response non-causal - causal comparative



By changing 'gain2' from zero to one, Figure 19 shows the isolated AVR + PSS field voltage response. Figure 19 shows stable response. Figure 20 shows a comparison of the electric field voltage responses of the non-causal (red curve) and causal models (blue curve) of AVR + PSS. These differences are not relevant.



Figure 19: Isolated AVR + PSS field voltage response -- non-causal model



Figure 20: Isolated AVR + PSS field voltage response acasual - causal comparative

The AVR + PSS voltage response is shown in Figure 21 and the comparison is shown in Figure 22. Similar to the field voltage response, there is a stable response and small voltage difference between non-causal and causal modes.



Figure 21: Isolated AVR + PSS terminal voltage response -- non-causal mode



Figure 22: Isolated AVR + PSS terminal voltage response non-causal - causal comparative

In summary, this Section demonstrates the effectiveness of AVR-only and AVR + PSS controllers. This result demonstrates the effectiveness of a fast-response, high gain AVR controller in reducing the power system oscillation stability, thereby improving its transient

stability. On the other hand, the AVR + PSS controller reduces transient stability by overriding the voltage signal to the exciter to improve oscillation stability. Basically, the AVR and PSS controller actions are dynamically linked as expected.

In conclusion, both non-causal and causal modes are equally suitable. This reflects the fact that either approach can be used to create schematics of large power grids, once the hard work of creating a model suitable for simulation is completed. Random changes in model parameters are straightforward in the physical (non-causal) declarative equation-based mode.

3.2 NTG results

This Section shows the NTG field voltages and terminal voltages using the infinitive bus described in Section 2.7. An equivalent schema is shown in Figure 13. The same disturbances are used as for the isolated test, i.e., start at 5.65 seconds with and amplitude equal to -0.03 using a pulse train of one period. Figure 23 shows the NTG field voltage in the non-causal model using the AVR controller and Figure 24 shows the AVR field voltage comparing the non-causal and causal responses. Figures 23 and 24 show a stable response.



Figure 23: NTG AVR Field voltage response -- non-causal mode

incure 24: NTC AVP Field voltage comparative per causal causa

Figure 24: NTG AVR Field voltage comparative non-causal - causal responses

Figure 25 shows the terminal voltage response of the NTG AVR in non-causal mode and Figure 26 shows the NTG AVR response comparing non-causal and causal modes. Figures 25 and 26 show a stable response.



Figure 25: NTG AVR terminal voltage response - non-causal mode





Figure 26: NTG AVR terminal voltage comparative non-causal - causal mode

Figure 27 shows the NTG AVR + PSS field voltage response. Figure 28 shows the comparative non-causal - causal of the NTG field voltage. Figures 27 and 28 show a stable response.



Figure 27: NTG AVR + PSS field voltage response - non-causal mode



Figure 28: NTG AVR + PSS field voltage comparative non-causal causal mode

Finally, Figure 29 shows the terminal voltages of NTG AVR + PSS in a non-causal mode, and Figure 30 shows a comparison of terminal voltages in non-causal and causal modes. From Figures 29 and Figure 30 show a stable response.



mode

The execution time is proportional to its complexity i.e., NTS case study simulation time is longer as expected for the same computer power (see Table 8).

Table 8: Simulation time

Isolated case study: 50.13 s NTG case study: 1 min 12.63 s



Figure 30: NTE AVR + PSS terminal voltage comparative non-causal and causal modes

In summary, in the Ecuadorian NTG has many disturbances that affect the power grid and can affect the generator reliability. Some disturbances are from large loads. When a large load is suddenly connected to the power grid, the power demand increases as shown in the diagrams of this Section. When a load is suddenly added, the Baba generator frequency begins to oscillate. In the case of small load, oscillation can be damped quickly. Figures of this Section also show that the PSS helps damp these oscillations by modulating the generator excitation.

For a full NTS grid, the grid becomes a complex nonlinear system, and is often subject to low frequency oscillations, so these sections were tested with a reduced and simplified scheme [25]. A protective relay system disconnects the generator from the rest of the system and can cause an interruption in the power system.

3.3 Reconciling Non-causal and causal mode

Causal models are based on input-output relationships, while non-causal models describe the power system through implicit differential algebra equations (DAE). A fundamental limitation of the causal approach is the underlying explicit state-space formalism. Causal modelling tools reflects the computational process rather than the structure of the underlying model.

Non-causal model decide how computational causality is automatically assigned by equations rather than causation. While this approach is flexible for the model designer, it does not guarantee a smooth transition from design to simulation results. This is because when assembling multiple models in equations, there are multiple ways to decompose constraints into elementary equations. One of the most common decomposition methods is tearing which determines the computational time required to solve a given system of equations using sparsity patterns [26]. A typical implementation is triangular decomposition of the bottom block where only tearing is applied, which can lead to suboptimal results. A special case of the Dulmage-Mendelsohn decomposition [27] is the Block Lower Triangular (BLT) decomposition. In practice, a common approach to tearing is to perform a BLT decomposition first and then applies tearing to the diagonal irreducible blocks. There are also tearing



heuristics that require BLT decomposition, such as Cellier's tearing [28].

One way to reconcile both models is the root mean squared (RMS) error. The RMS (also known as the quadratic mean) is a special case of the generalized mean with exponent equal to two. RMS is defined as the integral of the squares of the instantaneous difference values during a simulation cycle of two continuously varying functions [29]. Table 9 shows the RMS error for the isolated (left) and NTG (right) case studies. Both cases, only-AVR and AVR + PSS controllers with field and terminal voltage output variables.

Table 9: RMS Isolated and NTG case studies

	Only AVR	AVR + PSS	Only AVR	AVR + PSS
Vf	4.97E-04	5.43E-04	2.09E-04	2.54E-04
Vt	6.19E-06	4.44E-05	1.24E-05	3.79E-05

Table 9 show that the RMS error of the values obtained using the non-causal mode as compared to causal mode over the entire simulation time is almost negligible, so it would be safe to conclude that the outputs are similar.

3.4 Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity Analysis (SA) technique consists of varying the input and examining the resulting variation across the output. Saltelli and Annoni [30] argue that local sensitivity analysis examines changes in a models' output variables based on small changes in the model's input parameters. The most common SA practice is One-Factor-at-a-Time (OAT). This consists of analysing the effect of varying one model input factor at a time while keeping everything else constant. In simple terms, sensitivity analysis considers the effect of independent varying parameters. SA is particularly important in this research because the accuracy of power system stability analysis depends on the regulation of the controllers used. Therefore, it is important to attempt to analyse the input controller factors; thus, allowing the planner to better understand of the stability margin of the system. Our sensitivity analysis finds the effect of K_d and T_d in the terminal and field voltages.

The sensitivity analysis case study is related to the NTG case study with an AVR controller with initial values of T_d=5, K_d (derivative gain) = 0, but considering the limits allowed in [31], i.e., for the K_d values between -40 and 0 (typical value of 0) and for T_d (smoothed time constant) values between 0.1 s and 10 s (typical value of 5 s). Table 10 shows variations in percentage for field voltage and terminal voltage for K_d values of -5, -15 and -30 and T_d values of 1 and 10.

Table 10 shows that K_d is a very sensitive parameter. When the ARV K_d value is at the upper limit, the terminal voltage fluctuates by 6.693 percent. Therefore, a fast response AVR impacts both the oscillation stability as well as increasing transient stability of the power system.

Table 10.	NTG AVE	ROATS	ensitivity	Analysis

	Terminal Voltage (RMS percent variation)	Field Voltage (RMS percent variation)
$K_d = -5$	0.425	1.728
K _d = -15	1.491	5.512
K _d = -30	3.390	6.693
K_d = -1, T_d = 10 s	0.692	2.878
$K_d = -1, T_d = 1 s$	1.023	2.7020

4. Discussion

Section 2 shows how to estimate the Baba-generated field and terminal voltages in AVR and AVR + PSS controller modes using two case studies, i.e., isolated and NTG connected. Section 3 presents the application of this methodology to estimate the field voltage and terminal voltages in Baba generation. Case studies differ in system complexity. Section 3 is also an extension to the traditional sensitivity analysis, deconstructing the inputs to the path as the AVR derivative parameters flow through the Baba system and performing an innovative `what-if parameter sensitivity' scenario analysis. In this section, the methods, chart/table results, and sensitivities used to estimate the Baba generation are described in a broader context and discussed.

This paper began with a description of the power generation unit, AVR and PSS controller models, Transformers, Transmission lines and Infinite buses forming a simplified learning system (see Section 1). Two controller approaches: Only--AVR and AVR + PSS were developed in this research. In particular, the only-AVR controls the gate opening of the thyristor of the controlled rectifier. The entire system that controls and generates the excitation voltage is called the excitation system. For AVR + PSS controller, the main reason for implementing a PSS in the voltage regulator is to improve the small signal stability characteristics of the system. This study has shown that there is a trade-off between synchronous torque provided by the AVR and damping torque provided by the PSS.

This research has shown first and foremost that Generation data set components (see Section 2.1) are generally available in some form to many, if not all, Generation Business Units. Non-causal and causal models coexist as collaborative tools for simulating variables of interest in the simulation. The RMS error analysis using the non-causal mode is almost negligible compared to causal mode over the entire simulation time, so the outputs can be considered similar (see Section 3.3).

One of the issues highlighted is that in the initial phase of this research involved substantial data management,



JENRS

cleansing, restructuring and additions to the NTG initial data set in PF package and the spatial extent complexity of the NTG case study surrounding Baba system. This study supports energy companies and stakeholders to model control systems in several ways: the first using either causal models based on input-output relations or noncausal models using implicit Differential Algebraic Equation (DAE). Second is the methodology for building the power generation control system. Third, to assess the impact of interventions, we explore the available tools that policy makers and city energy planners may need to identify reliability issues in the national power system.

In summary, this study has integrated a number of data sets in a way that it was able to integrate with wellrespected standards such as the IEEE 421.5 standard, so every individual generation business unit can replicate this work. There are also issues with data collection methods and distribution restrictions.

This research proved that the strength is the framework approach not necessarily the model. The noncausal chosen is not necessarily suitable for large power systems. Therefore, an interesting application for future work is to take the framework developed in this study and adapt it to another hybrid model. This hybrid model can integrate power flow to control the physical properties of the system and multiple generators and their interrelations.

4. Conclusions

This study has shown that generation units' controller is affected by its parameters in all case studies. In some cases, these properties lead to output responses, suggesting that care should be taken when designing controller parameters from other studies instead of rigorous local analysis.

This paper proposes AVR and PSS with output limiters. This is because the key parameters that need to be controlled and kept within reasonable limits are the overexcitation and under-excitation indicators on the AVR output and saturation on the PSS output.

The stability analysis did not include models for low voltage load characteristics, tap changer behaviour under load, and relay protection, so the analysis includes manual manipulation. Further refinement of the stability analysis should include a physical understanding of these factors.

The work carried out within the framework of this research will make an important contribution to the research field of energy system modelling in several respects. First, the methodology used will greatly expand our theoretical understanding of existing complexities; second, to find new useful platforms to study power systems with their respective controls, with real conditions facilitating the study of different scenarios; and finally get the support of different tests and arrive at the same set of answers.

References

- [1]. International Renewable Energy Agency, "Sustainable development goal 7: Energy indicators". Technical Report. IRENA, 2017. URL: https://www.irena.org/IRENADocuments/Statistical_Profiles/So uthAmerica/Ecuador_SouthAmerica_RE_SP.pdf.
- [2]. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, "Ecuador General Information". *Technical Report. FAO*, 2021. URL: http://www.fao.org/3/Y4347E/y4347e0n.htm.
- [3]. J.P. Hidalgo-Bastidas, R. Boelens, "Hydraulic order and the politics of the governed: The Baba dam in coastal Ecuador". *Water* 11, 2019. URL: https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4441/11/3/409.
- [4]. M. Ilic, J. Zaborszky, *Dynamics and Control of Large Electric Power Systems*. Wiley-IEEE Press, 2000.
- [5]. IEEE Power Engineering Society, IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies. Technical Report, 2006.
- [6]. NERC, *Steady-State and Dynamic System Model Validation*. Technical Report, 2017.
- [7]. A. Elices, L. Rouco, H. Bourles, T. Margotin, "Design of robust controllers for damping interarea oscillations: application to the European power system". *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems* 19, 1058-1067, 2004. doi:10.1109/TPWRS.2003.821612.
- [8]. P. Verdugo, J. Játiva, "Metodología de sintonización de parámetros del Estabilizador del Sistema de Potencia -PSS" [Power System Stabilizer parameter tuning methodology -PSS]. *Revista Técnica Energía* 10, 2014.
- [9]. NASPI, Model Validation Using Phasor Measurement Unit Data. Technical Report, 2015.
- [10]. W. Vargas, P. Verdugo, "Validación e identicación de modelos de centrales de generación empleando registros de perturbaciones de unidades de medición fasorial, aplicación práctica Central Paute – Molino" [validation and identication of generation plants models using disturbance records from phasor measurement units, practical application Paute - Molino Power Plant]. *Revista Técnica Energía* 16., 2020.
- [11]. A. Bartolini, F. Casella, A. Guironnet, et al., "Towards paneuropean power grid modelling in Modelica: Design principles and a prototype for a reference power system library", 13th International Modelica Conference, pp. 627-636, 2019.
- [12]. F. P. Demello, C. Concordia, "Concepts of synchronous machine stability as affected by excitation control". *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems PAS-88*, 316-329, 1969. doi:10.1109/TPAS.1969.292452.
- [13]. P. Kundur, N.J. Balu, M.G. Lauby, Power system stability and control. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1994.
- [14]. P. Fritzson, Introduction to Modeling and Simulation of Technical and Physical Systems with Modelica. Wiley-IEEE Press, 2011.
- [15]. Consorcio Hidronergético del Litoral, EIA definitivo Proyecto Hidroeléctrico Baba [EAI final Baba Hydroelectric project]. Technical Report, 2006
- [16]. L. Qi, "Modelica Driven Power System Modeling, Simulation and Validation". (Master's thesis, Royal Institute of Technology, 2014).
- [17]. T. Van-Cutsem, L. Papangelis, Description, Modeling and Simulation Results of a Test System for Voltage Stability Analysis. Technical Report, 2013.
- [18]. M. Baudette, M. Castro, T. Rabuzin, J. Lavenius, T. Bogodorova, L. Vanfretti, "Openipsl: Open-instance power system library | update 1.5 to itesla power systems library (ipsl): A modelica library

for phasor time-domain simulations". SoftwareX 7, 34-36, 2018. URL:

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352711018300 050, doi :https://doi.org/10.1016/j.softx.2018. 01.002.

[19]. D. Mota, Models for Power System Stability Studies, Thyricon(R) Excitation System. Technical Report, 2010.

JENRS

- [20]. K. Walve, "Modelling of power system components at severe disturbances", International conference on large high voltage electric systems, 1986.URL: https://e-cigre.org/publication/38-18_1986modelling-of-power-system-components-at-severe-disturbances.
- [21]. IEEE Power and Energy Society, IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies (Revision of IEEE Std 421.5-2005). Technical Report, 2016.
- [22]. K.E. Bollinger, S.Z. Ao, "PSS performance as affected by its output limiter". *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion* 11, 118-124, 1996. doi:10.1109/60.486585.
- [23]. P.M. Anderson, A.A. Fouad, *Power System Control and Stability*, Wiley-IEEE Press; 2nd edition, 2002.
- [24]. V. Bari, E. Vaini, V. Pistuddi, A. Fantinato, B. Cairo, B. De-Maria, L.A. Dalla-Vecchia, M. Ranucci, A. Porta, "Comparison of causal and non-causal strategies for the assessment of baroreflex sensitivity in predicting acute kidney dysfunction after coronary artery bypass grafting". *Frontiers in Physiology* 10, 2019.
- [25]. E.V. Larsen, D.A. Swann, "Applying Power System Stabilizers part iii: Practical Considerations". *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus* and Systems PAS100, 3034-3046, 1981. doi:10.1109/TPAS.1981.316411.
- [26]. A. Baharev, A. Neumaier, H. Schichl, "Failure modes of tearing and a novel robust approach", *Proceedings of the 12th International Modelica Conference* pp 15-17, 2017.
- [27]. A. Pothen, C.J. Fan, "Computing the block triangular form of a sparse matrix". ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software. 16, 303-324, 1990. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/98267.98287, doi:10.1145/98267.98287.
- [28]. P. Tauber, L. Ochel, W. Braun, B. Bachmann, "Practical realization and adaptation of Cellier's Tearing Method", Proceedings of the 6th International Workshop on Equation-Based Object-Oriented Modeling Languages and Tools, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. p. 11-19, 2014. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/2666202.2666204,doi:10.1145/2666202.2666 204.
- [29]. M.J. Gibbard, P. Pourbeik, D.J. Bowles, "Small system stability, performance and control of power systems". University of Adelaide Press, 2015. Adelaide.
- [30]. A. Saltelli, P. Annoni, "How to avoid a perfunctory sensitivity analysis". Environmental Modelling and Software 25, 1508-1517, 2010. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364815210001

180, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2010.04.012.

[31]. A. Hammer, "Analysis of IEEE Power System Stabilizer Models". (Master's Thesis. Norwegian University of Science and Technology, 2011). URL: https://ntnuopen.ntnu.no/ntnumlui/bitstream/handle/11250/257120/445805_FULLTEXT01.pdf?se quence=1.

Copyright: This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY-SA) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/).



JAVIER URQUIZO, after an undergraduate degree in Electric Power Systems in Ecuador, I did graduate master school in United States having a master's in electrical engineering from Stevens Institute of

Technology, Hoboken New Jersey and a master's in civil and Environmental Engineering from University of New Orleans, Louisiana. I went to the United Kingdom in 2011 to pursue a doctoral degree in the Planning of Urban Energy Systems at Newcastle University. I did my VIVA in June 2015. The monograph I submitted informs domestic energy demand estimates to a number of EU, UK and Local Authority carbon and energy efficiency schemes. Currently I am doing research and teaching in an Ecuadorian University ESPOL, currently teaching Electric Power Distribution Systems, Renewable Processes and Sustainable Energy System Planning.



DIOVER BONILLA, after an undergraduate degree in Electric Power Systems at Escuela Superior Politécnica del Litoral ESPOL - Ecuador, I did graduate school in Renewable Energy I the European Centre of postgraduate

studies, Madrid - Spain.



FRANCISCO RIVERA, I have an undergraduate degree in Electric Power Systems at Escuela Superior Politécnica del Litoral ESPOL – Ecuador



ROMMEL CHANG After an undergraduate degree in Electric Electronics and Industrial Automation at Escuela Superior Politécnica del Litoral (ESPOL), I did graduate school in Industrial Automation and Control at ESPOL. I work at Baba Generation Plant.